Application No. Applicant(s) 09/889.309 LAUK, DETLEF Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2834 Iraj A Mohandesi All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Primary Dang Le. (1) Iraj A Mohandesi. (2) Applicant Representative Steve Stephan. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 22 June 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-17. Identification of prior art discussed: ves. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representaive propsed amendemt to claim 18, which would raise new isue and requiers new seach. applicant will consider changes in new proposal .. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DANG LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

6/22/04